

FILED

MAY - 3 2018

CLERK'S OFFICE  
DETROIT

GOOD MORNING, CLERK, OF THIS HON. COURT

IM IN HOPES, THAT YOURE ABLE

TO GET THIS LETTER TO THE HANDS IN WHICH IT BEIDNES, IN WHICH  
I DO REHIZE THAT THIS IS A SHOT IN THE DARK, SO WITHOUT HESITA-  
-TING, HERE IM, WITH LEGAI MERIT, RE: INVESTIGATION OF THE DETROIT  
POLICE ATTORNEYS DEPARTMENT, THE CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION AND THE UNITED STATES  
CONDUCTING AND INVESTIGATION OF THE DETROIT POLICE DEPARTMENT (DPD)  
PURSUANT OFFICE FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN ARE JOINTLY, IN  
USE OF 1994, 42 U.S.C. 14141. THE INVESTIGATION COVERS (3) AREAS: 1.) THE  
CELLS CONDITIONS, POLICIES AND PRACTICES, AND 3.) [DPD] ARREST AND  
DETENTION/INTERROGATION/ POLICIES AND PRACTICES. BACKGROUND, IN  
MARCH OF 2000, FORMER UNITED STATES SAUL GREEN MET WITH  
FORMER CHIEF BENNY NAPOLION, AND [DPD] COMMAND - LEVEL STAFF  
AND SUPERVISORS FROM FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES TO DISCUSS  
[DPD] ARREST POLICIES AND PROCEDURES. THE MEETING WAS CALLED  
BECAUSE THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS OFFICE HAD RECEIVED REPORTS  
OF UNCONSTITUTIONAL ARREST AND INTERROGATION/DETENTION/  
PRACTICES, OF FORMER HEADS OF THE DETROIT POLICE DEPARTMENT  
HOMICIDE DIVISION AMID ALLEGATIONS OF CORRUPTION AND DETROIT  
ARE USED IN CONJUNCTION MORE REGULARLY THAN MOST RESIDENTS  
WOULD PREFER. AS A LIST OF FORMER DETROIT POLICE DEPARTMENT  
LEADER AND THEIR HENCHMEN FROM AN FROM 1963 THROUGH, IN  
WHICH THEY WORKED BEHIND THE THICK WALLS OF THE  
PRIOR TO THE CLOSING OF THE SECRET 9TH FLOOR, HOLDING. p2

CELS IN THE FIRST PRECINCT HELD QUARTERS ON BEA BIEN IN DETROIT. IN RESPONSE, [CASE NO # 03-CV-72258] UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, V CITY OF DETROIT. DETAINED IN A COUD, ISOLATED [SECRET] HOLDING CELLS/ WITH NO ACCESS TO ATTORNEYS/ INAPPROPRIATELY FOR MORE THAN [48] HOURS WITHOUT BEING PROVIDED JUDICIAL CHUSE DETERMINATIONS HEARING BEFORE A NEUTRAL MAGISTRATE". AND PERSISTENT OF VIOLATING OF FEDERAL RIGHT ESPECIALLY IN CASES". THE OVERHUI ITS ARREST INTERROGATION AND DETENTION AS PART OF THE CONSENT DECREE ORDER IN WHICH IN PLACE 2003, THE NEW POLICY BROUGHT A SENSE THAT PUT A [BANDA GE] A WRONG HAS BEEN RIGHT. THIS PRACTICE OF TURNING A BLIND POLICE MISCONDUCT IS NOTHING NEW. IT GOES BACK DECADES THE VICTIMS OF FORMER HEADS OF THE DETROIT POLICE DEPARTMENT, THERE'S HISTORICAL PATTERN OF POLICE AND CITY AUTHORITIES COVERING UP OFFICER MISCONDUCT UNTIL AN OUTSIDE AGENT SHINES A LIGHT ON THESE CONTROVERSIES - MEDIA, CITIZENS, ACTIVISTS, AND YES, SCORES OF PRISONERS FROM DETROIT CITY, HAVE CLAIMED FOR THAT THEY WERE FRAMED BY NOW-RETired DETROIT DETECTIVES ON AND INVESTIGATION INTO INVESTIGATION OF THE DETROIT DEPARTMENT DETECTIVE THCTICS, AS WELL AS SUCCESSFUL CHALLENGES. IT TURNS OUT THAT SOME OF THEM WERE, PRIOR VIOLATION CAUSE OF POLICIES HAS NOT BEEN MADE RIGHT. THE [DPD] ADMITTED THAT THESE SAME PROLONGED DETENTIONS VIOLATE THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF DETAINEES, THE DETECTIVES HAVE NOT BEEN PUNISHED AND OTHERS THAT WHO ARE RESPONSIBLE, THE CITY OF DETROIT HAVE EFFECTIVELY RATIFIED THEIR ACTIONS. PRISONER MORRIS, SAYS THAT DPD INACTION WHS THE MOVING FORCE FOR THE CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION THE CITY OF DETROIT CUSTOM OR POLICY LED TO COMPLAINED OF INJURY. SINCE THE 1980'S, SIGNIFICANT OF DETENTIONS EXCEEDING 1481 HOURS WITHOUT A PROBABLE HEARING AFTER HIS ARREST WITHOUT A WARRANT BY NOT CURTAILING

AN AGREEMENT WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, CONSENT DECREE ORDER, AS A WAY OF SETTING TWO FEDERAL LAWSUITS THAT HAD ACCUSED POLICE

REPEATEDLY VIOLATING THE RIGHTS OF SUSPECTS, PRISONERS AND

WITNESSES. AND AGAIN I AND OTHERS ARE CONTINUE TO BE PUNISHED,

PLAINTIFF AND OTHERS ARGUES THAT THE CITY OF DETROIT IS (LIABIE)

FOR THE EXISTENCE OF A CLEAR AND PLAINTIFF/ PERSISTENT PATTERN OF

VIOLATING FEDERAL RIGHTS. YET THE [DPD] DETECTIVES HAVE NOT BEEN

PUNISHMENT IN WHICH THE [DPD] HAS EFFECTIVELY RATIFIED THEIR THE

CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION IN OTHER WORDS. THE CITY'S CUSTOM OR POLICY

LED TO THE COMPLAINTED OF INJURY, YET [30] YEARS LATER, HAD/ HAS/ NOT

BEEN RECTIFY. EVEN IN THE CASE OF [#10-cv-12162] IS BROWN V.

CITY OF DETROIT, IN WHICH WAS [RETROSPECTIVE] TO 2007, IN WHICH

A FEDERAL JUDGE RUED THAT MORE THAN A [48] HOUR DELAY OF A

PROBABLE CAUSE HEARING DID NOT COMPLY WITH A CONSENT DECREE ORDER

THE CITY ENTERED WITH THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN 2003.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE THOMAS LUDINGTON, APPOINTED LOVEY/

AND LOVEY, A CHICAGO LAW FIRM TO REPRESENT THE TWO CLASSES,

AND THIS IS WHY I CONTACTED THIS COMMITTEE FOR THAT PURPOSE

IN FEDERAL COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT, IN BROWN V

CITY OF DETROIT, THE CITY INITIALLY IGNORED BROWN'S SUIT, RESULTING

IN LUDINGTON SANCTIONING THE CITY FOR NOT COMPLYING WITH

DISCOVERY ORDERS, THE JUDGE EVENTUALLY ENTERED A DEFAULT.

YET DEFENDANT AND OTHERS PRIOR WAS AFFLICTED BY THE

SAME WRONGS THAT GAVE RISE TO THIS COURTS DECISION. IN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V CITY OF DETROIT [# CASE NO # 03-cv-

72258] RE: INVESTIGATION OF THE DETROIT POLICE DEPARTMENT.

IN WHICH IT IS OF RECORD, THAT THE CITY OF DETROIT, HAD AND

[UNAUTHORIZED] SECRET PRISON 9TH FLOOR, THE U.S. DEPARTMENT

OF JUSTICE ORDERED THE CITY TO STOP THE ILLEGAL DETENTIONS IN

2003.

SIGNATURE:

Yvonne Mowin # 205 193

DATED 04/ / 2018.

LAVARON MORRIS # 205-193  
CHIPPEWA CORR. FACILITY, 4269 W. M80  
KINCHEIDE MI. 49784-4269

**RECEIVE**  
MAY - 3 2018

EPÍS

U.S. DISTRICT OFFICE  
RIGHTS DIVISION COMPLAINT  
SECTION [CLERK] THE VOLUNTEER COMMITTEE  
ATTORNEYS FOR THE FEDERAL COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT, THEODORE LEVIN, U.S.  
COURTHOUSE, 231 W. LAFAYETTE BOULEVARD,  
FIFTH FLOOR, DALLAS, TEXAS

U.S. POSTAGE  PITNEY BOWES